

**EXERCISES [MAI 4.16-4.17]**  
**HYPOTHESIS TEST FOR TWO MEANS**  
**SOLUTIONS**

**A. Paper 1 questions (SHORT)**

1. (a) We consider two samples from the two countries and compare the means.  
We use a one-tailed t-test for the means.  
We assume that the heights follow Normal distribution.  
(b) Given that  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  are the mean heights of men in Italy and Spain respectively,  
Null hypothesis,  $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2$   
Alternative hypothesis,  $H_1 : \mu_1 > \mu_2$
2. (a) The probability the reject the null hypothesis  $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$  given that is true (that is to make a false-positive mistake) is 5%  
(b) For  $\alpha = 0.10$  or  $0.05$  or  $0.01$ 
  - (i) if  $p\text{-value} = 0.78 \times 10^{-3} = 0.0078$ ,  
in any case, since  $p\text{-value} < \alpha$ , we reject the null hypothesis.
  - (ii) if  $p\text{-value} = 0.0392$  the conclusion depends on the significance level  
For  $\alpha = 0.10$  or  $0.05$ , since  $p\text{-value} < \alpha$  we reject the null hypothesis.  
For  $\alpha = 0.01$ , we do not have enough evidence to reject the null hypothesis
  - (iii) if  $p\text{-value} = 0.1109$ ,  
in any case, since  $p\text{-value} > \alpha$  . we accept the null hypothesis  
(in fact, we do not have enough evidence to reject the null hypothesis).
3. (a)  $\bar{x}_1 = 18.25$ ,  $\bar{x}_2 = 16$   
(b) We use a two-tailed t-test for the means.  
We assume that the values of the feature follow Normal distribution.  
(c) Null hypothesis,  $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2$   
Alternative hypothesis,  $H_1 : \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$   
(d) Remember to set **Data: List, Pooled: On**
  - (i)  $p\text{-value} = 0.0303$ ,
  - (ii)  $t\text{-value} = 2.34$  
(e)  $\alpha = 0.05$ , since  $p\text{-value} < \alpha$ , we reject the null hypothesis (so there is a difference)  
(f)  $\alpha = 0.01$ , since  $p\text{-value} > \alpha$ , we do not have enough evidence to reject the null hypothesis (so there is no significant difference).

4. (a)  $\bar{x}_1 = 18.25$ ,  $\bar{x}_2 = 16$
- (b) We use a one-tailed t-test for the means.  
We assume that the values of the feature follow Normal distribution.
- (c) Null hypothesis,  $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2$   
Alternative hypothesis,  $H_1 : \mu_1 > \mu_2$
- (d) Remember to set **Data: List, Pooled: On**  
(i)  $p$ -value = 0.0151, (ii)  $t$ -value = 2.34
- (e)  $\alpha = 0.05$ , since  $p$ -value  $< \alpha$ , we reject the null hypothesis (so population A has significantly greater values than population B)
- (f)  $\alpha = 0.01$ , since  $p$ -value  $> \alpha$ , we do not have enough evidence to reject the null hypothesis (so there is no significant difference).
5. (a) (i) median 1 = 51, median 2 = 50  
(ii)  $\bar{x}_1 = 51.1$ ,  $\bar{x}_2 = 58.6$
- (b) According to the medians, Firstland has better results from Secondland.  
According to the means, Secondland has better results from Firstland.  
In general Secondland seems to perform better.
- (c) We use a two-tailed t-test for the means.  
 $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2$   
 $H_1 : \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$   
Remember to set **Data: List, Pooled: On**  
 $p$ -value = 0.185,  $\alpha = 0.10$   
Since  $p$ -value  $> \alpha$ , we do not have enough evidence to reject the null hypothesis  
(so Peter's claim that the two countries had almost the same performance is valid).
- (d) We use a one-tailed t-test for the means.  
 $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2$   
 $H_1 : \mu_1 < \mu_2$   
Remember to set **Data: List, Pooled: On**  
(i)  $p$ -value = 0.092,  $\alpha = 0.10$   
Since  $p$ -value  $< \alpha$ , we reject the null hypothesis  
(so Maria is right, Secondland had better results than Firstland).